

CRICKLEY HILL EXCAVATIONS 1990

Feature.....⁹⁵⁴³

Coord E.....³..Coord N.....⁷

Max length.....^{2.5}..direction.....^{NW-SE}
 Max width.....^{0.5}..direction.....^{NE-SW}

Cutting.....^{V97}.....

RELATIONSHIPS

Sealed by.....^{9503, 9516}.....

Seals.....⁹⁹⁸⁶.....

Contiguous with.....

ASSOCIATED FEATURES.....

ASSOCIATED FINDS

TYPE OF FEATURE

- Posthole
- Stakehole
- Pit
- Layer
- Hearth
- Ditch
- Wall
- Natural feature

Post pipe

layer of pit

Ditch infill

Shown on plan no.....^{3 & 4}.....

Section drawn, y/n

If no, explain why.....

Geobase code:

DESCRIPTION

.. A NW-SE band of medium & small stones has less soil than 9540
 .. to its W is a more pitted surface than 9539 & 9541
 .. appears to be top of E to be part of cairn

PHOTOGRAPHS

B/w number

Col number

Plan number

Section drawing reference

Comments

.....~~Some~~ Some roots disturbance.....
 CH9: Edge of Cairn = edge of underlying solid B.R. So 9543 root derived from B.R. seems to well defined to be wash
 As excavation continued a line of med. & small stone was recorded separate from cairn lsc spec LMPA - plan 6, E side defined by B.R.
 Lis very gull.

- 1) NOT, cam (as suggested by V97 Plan (9648))
- 2) NOT derived from underlying B.R. - This is gull.
- 3) Distinct area - more frag stones & is just E of S. flat line.
- 4) NOT LAIRN wash - If it was why does it not extend S.E. 9516. & why the gap as in (1) above.
- 5) Under 9516 (just).

This suggest deliberately placed. - NOT worn (cf 9514)
 - Not infilling unusually soft area